

NEGATIVITY OF PERELMAN'S LI-YAU-HAMILTON TYPE EXPRESSION

CHENGJIE YU

ABSTRACT. In [1], Chau-Tam-Yu proved the non-positivity of Perelman's new Li-Yau-Hamilton type expression v on noncompact manifolds. In this article, we further prove that v is negative if the Ricci flow is not end up with an Euclidean space.

Let M^n be a smooth manifold of dimension n and let $g(t)$ with $t \in [0, T]$ be a complete solution to the Ricci flow

$$(0.1) \quad \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial t} = -2R_{ij}$$

on M^n . We assume that $g(t)$ satisfies Shi's estimate (Ref. Shi [3])

$$(0.2) \quad \|\nabla^k Rm\|^2 \leq \frac{C_k}{t^k}$$

all over $M \times [0, T]$, for any nonnegative integer k , where C_k is a positive constant depending on k . When M is a compact manifold, this assumption is superfluous since any solution to the Ricci flow on a compact manifold will automatically satisfy Shi's estimate (0.2) by Hamilton's work on Ricci flow on compact manifolds. By the uniqueness result of Chen-Zhu [2], such a solution to the Ricci flow is uniquely determined by its initial metric $g(0)$.

Let $\square$ and $\square^*$ be the heat operator and conjugate heat operator along the $g(t)$ respectively. That is,

$$(0.3) \quad \square u = \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta u \text{ and}$$

$$(0.4) \quad \square^* u = -\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta u + Ru.$$

Let u be a fundamental solution of the conjugate heat equation with $u(x, T) = \delta_p$. Let f be a function on $M \times [0, T)$ such that

$$(0.5) \quad u(x, t) = (4\pi(T - t))^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-f}.$$

The new Li-Yau-Hamilton type expression v discovered by Perelman [?] is

$$(0.6) \quad v = [(T - t)(2\Delta f - \|\nabla f\|^2 + R) + f - n]u.$$

The following non-positivity of v was obtained by Perelman [?] on compact manifolds and by Chau-Tam-Yu [1] on noncompact manifolds.

Theorem 0.1 (Non-positivity of v). $v \leq 0$ all over $M \times [0, T)$.

Using strong maximum principle, we can further get the following negativity of v .

Theorem 0.2 (Negativity of v). *If $(M^n, g(T))$ is not the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$, $v < 0$ on $M \times [0, T)$.*

Proof. We proved it by contradictions. Suppose there is a $(y, s) \in M \times [0, T)$ such that $v(y, s) = 0$. Note that v is a sub-solution to the conjugate heat equation and that

$$v \leq 0$$

on $M \times [0, T)$. By the usual strong maximum principle,

$$v(x, t) = 0$$

for any $(x, t) \in M \times [s, T)$.

We come to get a contradiction. By the evolution equation of v (Ref. Perelman [?]),

$$R_{ij} + f_{ij} - \frac{1}{2(T - t)} g_{ij} = 0$$

for any $t \in [s, T)$. Let $X(t) = \frac{T-s}{T-t} \nabla^s f(s)$ for $t \in [s, T)$. Note that, by the last equation, and Shi's estimate (0.2), $f_{ij}(s)$ is bounded. So $\|X\|$ is at most linear

growth on $M \times [s, T)$. Hence, X can be integrated up on $[s, T)$. Let ψ_t with $t \in [s, T)$ be the family of diffeomorphisms generated by X ($\psi_s = \text{id}$). Let

$$\tilde{g}(t) = \frac{T-t}{T-s} \psi_t^* g(s).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{g}'(t) &= -\frac{1}{T-s} \psi_t^* g(s) + \frac{T-t}{T-s} \psi_t^* \mathcal{L}_{X_t} g(s) \\ &= -\frac{1}{T-s} \psi_t^* g(s) + \psi_t^* \mathcal{L}_{\nabla^s f(s)} g(s) \\ &= -\frac{1}{T-s} \psi_t^* g(s) + 2\psi_t^* f_{ij}(s) \\ &= -2\psi_t^* R_{ij}(s) = -2R_{ij}(\tilde{g}(t)). \end{aligned}$$

So $\tilde{g}$ is a complete solution to the Ricci flow on $[s, T)$ with $\tilde{g}(s) = g(s)$ and bounded curvatures. By uniqueness of result of Chen-Zhu [2],

$$(0.7) \quad \frac{T-t}{T-s} \psi_t^* g(s) = \tilde{g}(t) = g(t), \text{ and}$$

$$\|Rm(g(s))\| = \frac{T-t}{T-s} (\psi_t^{-1})^* \|Rm(g(t))\| \leq \frac{C(T-t)}{T-s},$$

for any $t \in [s, T)$.

Letting $t \rightarrow T^-$, we get that $g(s)$ is flat.

Furthermore, by the Gaussian upper bound of fundamental solutions (Theorem 5.1 in Chau-Tam-Yu [1]),

$$f(x, s) \geq c_1 r_0^2(p, x) - C_2$$

for any $x \in M$. So, $f(s)$ achieves its minimum at some point q . Then,

$$X_t(q) = \frac{T-s}{T-t} [\nabla^s f(s)](q) = 0$$

for any $t \in [s, T)$ and $\psi_t(q) = q$ for any $t \in [s, T)$. By equation (0.7),

$$(0.8) \quad \text{inj}_q(g(s)) = \sqrt{\frac{T-s}{T-t}} \cdot \text{inj}_q(g(t)).$$

Letting $t \rightarrow T^-$, we have that $\text{inj}_q(g(s)) = \infty$. Therefore, $(M, g(s))$ is the standard Euclidean space and hence $(M, g(T))$ is the standard Euclidean space which is ruled out by our assumption. $\square$

- Remark 0.1.* (1) The argument of the proof is not new. For example, it is basically contained in Chau-Tam-Yu [1].
- (2) If we have the backward uniqueness of Ricci flow, we know that if v is zero at some point in $M \times [0, T)$, then $(M, g(t))$ is the trivial Ricci flow on $\mathbb{R}^n$.
- (3) v measures how far away the Ricci flow $g(t)$ is from the trivial Ricci flow on $\mathbb{R}^n$. So, it is reasonable to get stronger estimates for v in terms of geometry of $g(t)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Chau Albert, Tam Luen-Fai, Yu Chengjie, *Pseudolocality for Ricci Flow and Applications*. arXiv:math/0701153v2.
- [2] Chen, Bing-Long; Zhu, Xi-Ping *Uniqueness of the Ricci flow on complete noncompact manifolds*. J. Differential Geom. 74 (2006), no. 1, 119–154.
- [3] Shi, W.-X., *Deforming the metric on complete Riemannian manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. 30 (1989), 223–301.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHANTOU UNIVERSITY, SHANTOU, GUANGDONG, P.R.CHINA

E-mail address: cjyu@stu.edu.cn